Koch, Kristine

From: James McKenna <jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>

Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2014 8:54 AM

To: Koch, Kristine

Subject: Re: LWG Response to EPA Question on FS Database

Thanks Kristine. I am in the field this morning but will be back at my desk around 1:00pm. I'll call you then to get some clarity as to what you're looking for. Jim.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 11, 2014, at 8:00 AM, Koch, Kristine < Koch. Kristine@epa.gov > wrote:

Jim – Thanks, but this wasn't what we were looking for.

Kristine Koch Remedial Project Manager USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, M/S ECL-115 Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

(206)553-6705 (206)553-0124 (fax) 1-800-424-4372 extension 6705 (M-F, 8-4 Pacific Time, only)

From: Jennifer Woronets [mailto:jworonets@anchorqea.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2014 12:39 PM

To: Koch, Kristine

Cc: Amanda Shellenberger; Bob Wyatt; Carl Stivers; Jennifer Woronets; Jim McKenna (jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com); King, Todd W.; Mullin, Jeanette; Patty Dost; Scott Coffey

(coffeyse@cdmsmith.com); Sheldrake, Sean

Subject: LWG Response to EPA Question on FS Database

Kristine,

The following email is provided on behalf of Carl.

As requested, this email provides a summary of the prior correspondence with LWG's position on adding data to the FS database. The LWG has previously stated concerns with EPA's intent to add data to the FS database in our July 9, 2014 memorandum to EPA regarding EPA's proposed dredge depth approach for the revised FS and in August 29, 2014 LWG comments on EPA's draft revised FS Section 1. A summary of these previously stated concerns are:

- 1) EPA has not established a consistent rationale or plan for selection and use of new harbor data in the updated FS database.
- 2) EPA indicated that new data would be used in some but not all FS evaluations. However, in the absence of a pre-existing plan for how and where new data will or will not be used in various FS evaluations, EPA decisions could result in inconsistent usage of new data, which will likely confuse the revised FS text and presentations.

3) Without a clear data usage plan, it will be difficult to determine whether any changes in revised FS conclusions as compared to draft FS conclusions are based on data changes, changes in technical evaluation methods, or both.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thank you, Jen Woronets ☺ Anchor QEA, LLC jworonets@anchorqea.com 421 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 750 Portland, OR 97204 503-972-5014

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at jworonets@anchorqea.com